

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,957 12/08/2003		3/2003	Tsugio Nomoto	038942.02	9030
25944	7590	05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BI	ERRIDGE,	PLC	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A		
P.O. BOX 199	928				
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22	320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/728,957	NOMOTO ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joseph A. Kaufman	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) 🗌								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. ☒ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)		÷					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/2003.		formal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/728,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the amendment regarding page 56, the line reference should be to "9" and not "19". Further, there are numerous missing letters, i.e. page 5, line 26, "prop rties" should be "properties"; and numerous grammar errors, i.e. page 11, line 11, it appears that "form" should be "from". The entire specification should be checked for errors, as these are merely examples. Only addressing the above two cited examples may result in an issuance of an intentional non-responsive.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,957 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gaucher.

Gaucher shows a resin body having an inner layer 1; outer layer 2; air hole at the top of the outer layer seen in Figure 1; pump 15; cylinder 13; neck 16, 17; cap 3; seal seen in Figure 1 contacting both the inner and outer surfaces of the cylinder; lid on 3; and cylinder groove 18. Gaucher lacks the lamination of layers. As this is a product-by-process limitation and the lamination does not affect the operability of the device, Gaucher anticipates claims 1-3. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to laminate the layers as it is a well known way to form a multilayered container that reduces the number of manufacturing steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yu, Bodin et al., Meshberg and Gueret show other pumps with multilayered containers.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

jak May 2, 2005